

EFFICIENCY OF THE TRAINING PROGRAMME FOR NON-SWIMMERS ADAPTED FOR WOMEN WITH A PRONOUNCED FEAR OF BEING IN WATER

¹Olympic swimming pool Otoka, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Original scientific paper
UDC: 797.371-053.5

Abstract

The aim of this research was to analyze the efficiency of individual training programme for adult women non-swimmers, among whom is evident a pronounced fear of being in water (swimming). The sample consisted of 20 persons, 26 to 59 years of age. In the study the variables for the evaluation of adaptation parameters needed for being in water were used as well as for swimming performance of subjects. Training programme for non-swimmers was performed individually. The activities were carried out in 20 periods each lasting 60 minutes, in a slightly incline swimming pool adapted for training of non-swimmers. Results of paired-samples t-test have shown a high statistical significance of all variables. Under the influence of the programme there have been significant changes in the values of the variables: swim across distance in meters (PRMET $p < .001$); swimming knowledge assessment (OPPL $p < .001$); assessment of swimming technique (OPT $p < .001$). Based on the results obtained in this study it can be concluded that the applied programme successfully overcame the fear factor among all examinees, which was essential for the continuation of activities. After psychological adjustment, a more advanced movement activities were efficiently implemented as well as swimming skills and elements for a safe and enjoyable time spend in the water.

Key words: **education of adults, transformational process, psychological adjustment, swimming**

Introduction

Drowning is one of the leading causes of injury resulting in death worldwide. Therefore, the need for a preventive strategy of education and overcoming the fear of being in water arises for teaching non-swimmers of all ages and improving their skills of moving in water through some form of swimming (Brenner et al., 2003). Swimming is an activity of movement of living things in the water that includes maintenance on the water surface and movement in the desired direction. In earlier studies (Stallman et al., 2008) it was noted that the training of non-swimmers represented only one of the preventive measures that were aimed to prevent drowning. Fear is probably one of the most deeply rooted emotions in the human psyche (Ziara, 2005). When we talk about the psychological concept of concern (Rychta, 1990), we can distinguish two types of anxiety: the care of a certain phenomenon, physical or imaginary (closer to the concept of fear) and abstract anxiety (closer to the concept of trepidation). The presence of fear warns the body about the dangers ahead, inhibiting harmful acts and starting actions aimed at rescuing itself from a given

situation (Freud, 2000). Regarding fears of being in water, it should be noted that in children it's an integral part of the generic cluster or fear of the unknown or danger, while in adults they become independent generic fears (Graham et al., 1997). For individuals where it is evident that they have a pronounced fear of being in water, it is necessary to ensure adequate environment and to prepare them for the training of basic movement elements in order to secure a safe time spend in that environment as well as a swimming programme individually adapted to each person (Grosse, 2010; Stillwell, 2011). A significant number of adults fall into the category of non-swimmers. Many of them, aware of the above stated facts, come to the knowledge and desire that they should try to overcome the fear of being in water and to learn basic elements of swimming. Having that in mind, it is necessary to make every effort in order to reduce the percentage of non-swimmers of all ages (Gošnik et al., 2011). Interesting data from previously conducted research in the Republic of Croatia (Grčić-Zubčević, 2010) show that women have a greater interest for such edu-

cation. According to the number of registered adults who want to learn how to swim, two thirds are females. Studies have also shown that the greatest interest for learning how to swim in adults of both sexes is between the ages of 25-29 years, which also classifies as adulthood (Berk, 2008). In accordance to the aforementioned, the aim of this research was to analyze the efficiency of individual training programme for adult women non-swimmers, with a pronounced fear of being in water (swimming).

Methods

The sample of participants

The research was conducted on a sample of 20 female participants, 26-59 years of age. Before conducting the research all participants signed a protocol/form where they indicated that they are non-swimmers, have felt an intensive fear of swimming in water for many years and that

they have voluntarily entered the training programme for non-swimmers.

The sample of variables

The study used variables (Table 1) to assess the swimming performance of the participants (swim across distance in meters, swimming knowledge assessment and assessment of one of the swimming techniques at the option of the participants). Testing and evaluation was conducted by an expert team of evaluators/judges, using an expert assessment method according to the pre-defined criteria (Kazazović et al., 2007). Swimming knowledge assessment was defined on the basis of the evaluation test results of adaptation parameters required for spending time in water (Table 2) and swim across distance in meters. Evaluations are defined on a scale from 1 to 5 (Table 3). For the evaluation of the quality of swimming technique performance (Table 4) the participants had a free choice of selecting one of the swimming techniques which were rated from 1 to 5 (Rađo, 1997).

Table 1. Variables for swimming knowledge assessment

| Variable | Assessment | Records |
|----------|----------------------------------|--|
| PRMET | Freestyle swimming | Swim across distance in meters |
| OPPL | Swimming knowledge assessment | Expert assessment of swimming elements (from 1 to 5) |
| OPT | Assessment of swimming technique | Expert assessment of swimming elements (from 1 to 5) |

Table 2. Evaluation tests of adaptation parameters for spending time in water

| Variable | Test | Observation of task fulfilment |
|----------|------------------------------|--|
| GGL | Head dive | Can/Can't – dive the head under the water > 5 seconds with deep exhalation |
| ROP | Diving of an object | Can/Can't – dive and pick up a light object from the depth of 140 cm |
| SNP | Feet jump into shallow water | Can/Can't – jump feet first into 140 cm of shallow water |
| SND | Feet jump into deep water | Can/Can't – jump feet first into 220 cm of deep water |
| PNS | Floating on a belly | Can/Can't – float on a belly > 5 seconds |
| PNL | Back floating | Can/Can't – back float > 5 seconds |

Table 3. Model evaluation for the swimming knowledge assessment variable (Kazazović et al., 2007)

| Evaluation | Movement assessment |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| EVALUATION 5 - Swimmer | Jumps on feet into deep water on its own, swims the distance of minimum 50 meters-two styles and comes out from the pool on its own. |
| EVALUATION 4 – Swimmer a beginner | Jumps on feet into deep water on its own, swims using freestyle technique the distance of minimum 25 meters and comes out from the pool on its own. |
| EVALUATION 3 – Semi-swimmer | Jumps on feet on its own, swims using freestyle technique the distance between 10-24 meters and comes out from the pool on its own or with the help from an instructor. |
| EVALUATION 2 - Floater | It can, for a short period of time, keep afloat on chest and swim using freestyle technique up to 10 meters and come out from the pool on its own or with the help from an instructor. |
| EVALUATION 1 – Non-swimmer | Does not have any knowledge about swimming. |

Table 4. Model evaluation for the quality of swimming technique performance (Rađo, 1997)

| Evaluation | Movement assessment |
|--------------|---|
| EVALUATION 5 | Technique performance with the optimal angle of attack (depending on the swimming technique), with the optimal range of motion of the body (depending on the swimming technique), around longitudinal and transverse axis, the correct entry of arms into the water and the realization of propulsive and retro propulsive part of the stroke, proper legs work, excellent coordination of arms, legs and breathing. |
| EVALUATION 4 | Technique performance with the optimal angle of attack (depending on the swimming technique), with the optimal range of motion of the body (depending on the swimming technique), around longitudinal and transverse axis, the correct entry of arms into the water, the occurrence of defects during realization of the propulsive and retro propulsive part of the stroke, proper legs work, good coordination of arms, legs and breathing. |
| EVALUATION 3 | Good technique performance, existence of small defects of aforementioned elements, but the whole structure of the movement is not disturbed; there is a satisfactory coordination of arms, legs and breathing. |
| EVALUATION 2 | Technique performance is disturbed; there is existence of defects of all aforementioned elements, bad coordination of arms, legs and breathing. |
| EVALUATION 1 | Poorly performed technique, there are significant defects of all aforementioned elements. The structure of the movement is significantly disturbed, very bad coordination of arms, legs and breathing. |

Three evaluators (judges) conducted the testing and evaluation. The evaluators were highly educated with evident practical experience in swimming training programs. They had to meet certain criteria (to have a degree of graduate teachers of sport and physical education; to have at least three years of experience in the implementation of the training programmes for non-swimmers and learning of swimming techniques). Before starting the test, the evaluators were introduced to the procedures, process and assessment criteria. The procedures contained harmonized criteria with an emphasis on the body position, work of arms and legs, proper breathing and co-

ordination. During evaluation, the examinees performed each test only once.

Training programme

Swimming training programme was adapted and implemented individually, during morning hours, under the expert guidance of graduates with a kinesiology degree, experts for training of non-swimmers. Activities were carried out in 20 periods each lasting 60 minutes, according to a defined curriculum (Table 5), in a slightly incline swimming pool adapted for training of non-swimmers (average water temperature was 29,3°C). Training dynamics was conditioned by a working capacity of each examinee.

Table 5. Individual training programme of teaching non-swimmers

| Plan and Programme/ Hour | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Sets of warm up exercises | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Aqua gymnastics | | | | | | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Adaptation in water | * | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Breathing exercises | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | | |
| Diving-Seeing in water | | | * | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Floating on water exercises | | | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sliding and moving in the water | | | | | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | | | |
| Legs workout exercises | | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Arms workout exercises | | | | | | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | |
| Arms-Legs working combination | | | | | | | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Combination of movement crawl-back | | | | | | | | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Combination of movement breaststroke-back | | | | | | | | | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Swimming its own style | | | | | | | | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Security swimming exercises | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | * | * | * | * | * |
| Jumping on feet | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | * | * | * | * | * |
| Jumping on the head | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | * | * | * | * | * |

Methods of data processing

Data on test subjects were obtained by measuring the same variables at two time points, which is before and after programme realization. Assessment analysis of basic adaptation parameters for being in water is shown by percentage statistics. For the results analysis of initial and final testing variables for swimming knowledge assessment a paired-samples t-test was used (Field, 2013) on the level of statistical significance of 0,05% . In order to determine the effect size, an Eta-squared was used (Cohen, 1988).

Results

After the initial measurement it was evident that all participants were non-swimmers, reaching the same score for swimming knowledge and the quality of swimming technique (1), so the evaluators (judges) correlation of these assessments was not calculated. Concordance analysis between evaluators was conducted for the variable of quality of swimming technique performance in the final test (OPTF). Based on the results from the Tables 6 and 7, it can be concluded that analyzed tests of expert assessment of swimming knowledge and quality of swimming technique performance have quality in objectivity (intercorrelations between evaluators are high as well as the reliability coefficient).

Table 6. Concordance analysis between evaluators for giving evaluation during expert assessment

| Variable | Evaluator/Judge | AS±SD | CA |
|----------|-----------------|------------|------|
| OPTF | Judge 1 | 2.90±1.07 | .987 |
| | Judge 2 | 3.05.±1.14 | |
| | Judge 3 | 3.00.±1.12 | |

Table 7. Intercorrelation of evaluators/judges

| OPTF | Judge 1 | Judge 2 | Judge 3 |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Judge 1 | 1.000 | | |
| Judge 2 | .948 | 1.000 | |
| Judge 3 | .962 | .981 | 1.000 |

On the basis of results of adaptive parameters of spending time in the water (Table 8), as a result of the evident fear of spending time in water, the examinees in the initial testing showed very low level of psychological adjustment necessary for the implementation of planned activities. Even those participants, who on the final measuring managed to do a very small part of the tasks for the assessment of adaptive parameters, implemented the activities with the assistance of instructors. Results of the same parameters on the final measuring indicate maximum work efficiency, and a high level of their psychological adjustment for a safe and enjoyable time spend in water, which in fact was a fundamental precondition for a successfully implementation of training of non-swimmers.

Table 8. Percentage analysis of adaptive parameters for spending time in the water before and after programme implementation

| | Initial | | Final | |
|---|---------|----|-------|-----|
| | N | % | N | % |
| GGL - Head dive | 2 | 10 | 20 | 100 |
| ROP - Diving of an object | 1 | 5 | 20 | 100 |
| SNP - Feet jump into shallow water - 140 cm | 2 | 10 | 20 | 100 |
| SND - Feet jump into deep water - 220 cm | 0 | 0 | 20 | 100 |
| PNS - Floating on a belly > 5 seconds | 0 | 0 | 20 | 100 |
| PNL - Back floating > 5 seconds | 0 | 0 | 20 | 100 |

Results of descriptive statistics (Table 9) show that the participants managed, on the final measuring, to swim across a significant distance with a mean value around 29 meters. The average rating for the knowledge of swimming (OPPLF) on the final evaluation was 3.9 which put them in a category between semi-swimmer and swimmer a beginner. During final evaluation, the mean score for the quality of swimming technique performance of their choice was 3.0.

Table 9. Descriptive statistics of applied variables in the initial and final measurements

| | Mean | N | Std. Dev. | Std. Error Mean |
|---------------|-------|----|-----------|-----------------|
| PRMET Initial | 3.25 | 20 | 1.74 | .38984 |
| PRMET Final | 29.15 | 20 | 14.91 | 3.33583 |
| OPPL Initial | 1.00 | 20 | .00 | .00000 |
| OPPL Final | 3.90 | 20 | .96 | .21643 |
| OPT Initial | 1.00 | 20 | .00 | .00000 |
| OPT Final | 3.00 | 20 | 1.12 | .25131 |

Based on the arithmetic mean of the results of swimming variables at the beginning and the end of the program and on the basis of significance of occurring changes tested by a paired-samples t-test (Table 10), it is clear that the programme has made significant partial effects. Also, the value of Eta-squared for all three variables shows that the effect of the implemented programme is large. Results of paired-samples t-test (Table 10) show a high statistical significance of all the variables. Under the influence of the swimming training programme there have been significant changes in the values of the variables: swim across distance in meters (PRMET $p < .001$); swimming knowledge assessment (OPPL $p < .001$); assessment of swimming technique (OPT $p < .001$).

Table 10. Results of paired-samples t-test

| | Mean | Std. Dev. | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | Eta-squared |
|------------------|--------|-----------|--------|----|-----------------|-------------|
| PRMETI - PRMETIF | -25.90 | 13.52 | -8.56 | 19 | .000 | 0.79 |
| OPPLI - OPPLF | -2.90 | .96 | -13.39 | 19 | .000 | 0.90 |
| OPTI - OPTF | -2.00 | 1.12 | -7.95 | 19 | .000 | 0.77 |

Discussion

Results of previous studies have shown that the process of psychological adjustment in the training of non-swimmers is a very important factor that instructors often neglect (Leite et al., 2007). However, on the basis of a clearly defined plan and programme under which the survey was conducted (various exercises for the proper breathing technique, floating, diving and sliding on water), it is evident that the optimal quality of the psychological adjustment of all examinees, especially in the beginning of programme activities, was taken care of. Also, these exercises have been repeated periodically until the end of the planned programme activities. In kinesiology education, that among other things includes the improvement and transformation of the level of motor and theoretical awareness and motor achievements, individualization in working with participants in the most efficient way. The results obtained confirm the conclusions of authors of earlier studies in which the efficiency of the individualization of work is emphasized, giving priority to individual approach in kinesiology education whenever possible (Findak, 2003; Keškić, 2012). The movements in the water were gradually adopted, resulting in easier composition of fine combinations of posture and movement. During the implementation of the swimming training programme, the test subjects went through internal changes that determined the ability of each individual for the correct movement performance. This confirms the findings of earlier studies (Rađo, 2000; Schmidt et al., 2004; Torlaković et al., 2012), that the level of adoption of the quality of movement and each motor learning is improved by practise and the system of individual parts of the movement significantly changes and improves over time. Although it was an individual approach to education, working with adults can very often be challenging for swimming instructors because it contains many problems such as the age range of participants, psychological maladjustment to aquatic medium, levels of anxiety, health problems and reduced motor and functional abilities, which was already stated in the conclusions of previous studies with similar sample (Grčić-Zubčević, 2010). It should be noted that after a successfully completed programme the participants should continue to work on improving their swimming performances, because if a swimmer fails to assess its skills and does not take into account the conditions on the water (the sea, pool, lake, river, etc.) there is a constant danger of drowning, so precautions are necessary (Budimir et al., 2010).

Conclusion

Although the participants had, before the start of the program, a pronounced fear of being in water and swimming, which was determined by the survey conducted before the start of the research, it can be concluded that after completion of the programme they have successfully adapted to being in water and moving through water. In fact, it was crucial for the dynamics and continuation of educational

activities of the basic elements of swimming. After adaptation to the water, a more advanced movement activities were implemented efficiently for a safe and enjoyable time spend in the water. Circumstantially, the participants in this research did not have a chance during growing up and regular schooling years to access education for non-swimmers in order to overcome the fear of being in the water during their childhood.

Therefore, it should be emphasized that the introduction of teaching how to swim as part of the regular educational process of physical education would be very useful for future generations.

Reference

- Berk, L. E. (2008). *Psihologija cjeloživotnog razvoja* [Psychology of lifelong development]. Jastrebarsko: Naklada Slap.
- Brenner, R.A., Saluja, G., Smith, G.S. (2003). Swimming lessons, swimming ability, and the risk of drowning. *International Journal of Injury Control and Safety Promotion*, 10(4):211-6.
- Budimir, V., Breslauer, N., Bokor, I. (2010). Swimming with regular and part-time first-year students study the management of tourism and sport. *Proceedings of Međimurje University in Čakovec*, Vol.1 (1):7-11.
- Cohen, J.W. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd edn). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Field, A. (2013). *Discovering Statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics*. SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Findak, V. (2003). *Metodika tjelesne i zdravstvene culture* [Methodology of physical education and health culture]. Zagreb: Školska knjiga.
- Freud S. (2000). *The origin and development of psychoanalysis* [in Polish]. Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN, Warszawa 2000.
- Gošnik, J., Špehar, N., Reichel, K.F. (2011). Validation of the scales for assessing swimming abilities of students the Faculty of humanities and social sciences in Zagreb. *Book of Abstract, 6th International Scientific Conference on Kinesiology*, Opatija, p. 226-229.
- Graham, J., Gaffan, E.A. (1997). Fear of water in children and adults: etiology and familial effects. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, Vol. 35 (2): 91-108.
- Grčić-Zubčević, N. (2010). *Metodički organizacijski oblici rada u području poduke neplivača odraslih osoba* [Methodical organizational forms of work in the field of training of adult non-swimmers]. *Proceedings of the 11th Croatian counselling on non-swimmers training*, Orahovica, (11):20-25.

Grosse, S.J. (2010). Water Freedom for All: The Halliwick Method. *International Journal of Aquatic Research and Education*, (4): 199-207.

Kazazović, B., Đedović, D., Popo, A., Mekić, M. (2007). Efekti transformacionih procesa u nastavi (obuci) plivanja mlađeg školskog uzrasta [The effects of the transformation processes in education (training) of swimming in early school age]. *Second International Symposium on New Technologies in Sport, Sarajevo 2007. Proceedings NTS*, 324-328.

Keškić, M. (2012). Individualizacija rada u području obuke neplivača odraslih osoba [The individualization of work in the field of training of adult non-swimmers]. *Proceedings of the 12th Croatian counselling on non-swimmers training, Rijeka (12)*:48-50.

Leite, J.P., dos Anjos, T.C., Gemente Galdi, E.H., Gonçalves, A. (2007). Adaptation process in swimming initiation: The learning to swim project. *Human Movement* vol. 8 (2): 124–127

Rađo, I. (1998). Transformacioni procesi motoričkih i funkcionalnih sposobnosti i različitih aspekata u plivanju [Transformation processes of motor and functional abilities and different aspects of swimming]. Monograph, Faculty of Physical Education, University of Sarajevo.

Rađo, I. (2000). *Antropomotorika [Anthropomotorics]*. Pedagogical Academy, University of Mostar.

Rychta, T. (1990). Fear and anxiety in sport [in Polish]. *Kwartalnik Metodyczno-Szkoleniowy*, (1): 69–78.

Stallman, R.K., Junge, M., Blixt, T. (2008). The Teaching of Swimming Based on a Model Derived From the Causes of Drowning. *International Journal of Aquatic Research and Education*, (2): 372-382.

Schmidt, R.A., Wrisberg, A.C. (2004). *Motor learning and performance*. Human Kinetics Books. USA, Illinois.

Stillwell, B.E. (2011). The Subjective Experiences of Those Afraid in Water. *International Journal of Aquatic Research and Education*, (5): 51-60.

Torlaković, A., Kebat, R., Beganović, E. (2012). Dynamics of learning the basic elements of swimming for boys in the elementary school. *4th International Scientific Conference Contemporary Kinesiology, Split. Proceedings Book*, 602-610.

Ziara, W. (2005). Relationships between progress in acquisition of swimming skills and anxiety level in ten-year-old children. *Human Movement*, Vol. 6 (2): 93–97.

Corresponding author:

Ph.D. Aldvin Torlaković

Olympic swimming pool Otoka,
Bulevar Meše Selimovića 83-b, Sarajevo

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Tel: ++387 33 773-850

email: aldvint@gmail.com